




# Analysis of Prediagnostic Circulating Levels of Gonadotropins and Androgens with Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer

Deepak Parchwani<sup>1</sup> Sagar Jayantilal Dholariya<sup>1</sup>  Sohil Takodara<sup>2</sup> Ragini Singh<sup>1</sup>  
Vivek Kumar Sharma<sup>3</sup> Alpana Saxena<sup>4</sup> Digishaben D. Patel<sup>3</sup> Madhuri Radadiya<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biochemistry, All India Institutes of Medical Sciences, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Geetanjali Medical College, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Physiology, All India Institutes of Medical Sciences, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Hamdard Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence Sagar Jayantilal Dholariya, MD, Department of Biochemistry, All India Institutes of Medical Sciences, Rajkot, 360001, Gujarat, India (e-mail: drsagar.dholariya@gmail.com).

<sup>5</sup> Department of Radiology, Pandit Dindayal Upadhyay Medical College, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

J Lab Physicians 2022;14:47–56.

## Abstract

**Background** Prevailing experimental and epidemiological evidence supports the role of circulating endogenous sex steroid hormones in the pathogenesis of ovarian carcinogenesis by dysregulation of cell differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis but is scarce and inconclusive.

**Objectives** This article evaluates the role of circulating levels of gonadotropins (follicle-stimulating hormone [FSH], luteinizing hormone [LH]) and androgens (testosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate [DHEA-S]) for the risk of epithelial ovarian cancer in a case–control approach using samples collected in advance of clinical diagnosis.

**Materials and Methods** A total of 100 epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) patients and 100 healthy female controls were consequently enrolled in this hospital-based case–control study. Serum FSH, LH, testosterone, and DHEA-S were measured based on the principle of electrochemiluminescence immunoassay. Suitable descriptive statistics were used for different variables.

**Results** Median values of FSH (58.9 vs. 45.5 IU/L,  $p = 0.02$ ) and DHEA-S (163.43 vs. 142.2 ug/dL,  $p = 0.03$ ) were significantly high in EOC patients compared with controls. Conditional logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) across increasing thirds of FSH and DHEA-S concentrations, and the results revealed that the highest third tertile of FSH ( $> 72.6$  IU/L; OR = 3.0, confidence interval [CI] = 1.24–7.29,  $p$  trend = 0.04) and DHEA-S ( $> 194.2$  ug/dL; OR = 3.8, CI = 1.26–11.61,  $p$  trend = 0.03) were significantly associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer in

## Keywords

- ▶ epithelial ovarian cancer
- ▶ follicle-stimulating hormone
- ▶ dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate

published online  
January 18, 2022

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1741443>.  
ISSN 0974-2727.

© 2022. The Indian Association of Laboratory Physicians. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

postmenopausal and premenopausal women, respectively. The statistically significant trend observed for FSH in postmenopausal women, remained only for the subgroup with menopause duration greater than 10 years (OR = 5.9, CI = 1.33–26.66,  $p$  trend = 0.04). FSH and DHEA-S concentrations and ovarian cancer risk were internally consistent with groups defined by oral contraceptive pill use, hormone replacement therapy, and smoking. However, no evidence was found for the association between serum LH and testosterone level with the occurrence of ovarian tumorigenesis.

**Conclusion** Prediagnostic circulating concentration of FSH and DHEA-S unveiled a significant positive association with augmented risk of EOC, thus might serve as a predictive marker for the susceptibility to ovarian carcinogenesis and should be added in the screening profile of EOC for early recognition and scheduling necessary interventions/management strategies.

## Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death from gynecological neoplasms and is considered the second most common malignancy of the female reproductive system.<sup>1</sup> In India, epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) alone is responsible for 5.9% of all cancers, being more prevalent in the age group of 35 to 45 years.<sup>2,3</sup> Regardless of attainment in its surgical and systemic handling, the 5-year survival rate remains low.<sup>1</sup> This is a source of concern for the Indian health care system; adequate management is required to optimize patient care. First, however, it is essential to identify the early markers involved in the pathophysiological process of EOC to prevent complications and to initiate early management.

The low survival rate of ovarian malignancies can be attributed to many factors, including the absence of suitable screening tests, nonspecific symptoms, and long symptomless periods, and the biological mechanisms accountable for its development/progression are not clear. Although heritability accounts for 5 to 10% of all cases,<sup>4</sup> but by and large, the etiology of ovarian carcinogenesis is not conclusive enough. Based on the animal experiments,<sup>5,6</sup> cell culture studies,<sup>7</sup> and epidemiological observations, it is hypothesized that endogenous hormones of adrenal and ovarian origin play a significant role in ovarian tumorigenesis through controlling cellular proliferation, differentiation, and apoptotic rates.<sup>8,9</sup>

Incessant ovulation hypothesis and gonadotropin hypothesis have been proposed for the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer, with former postulating that the risk of EOC surges with the numeral of ovulatory cycles because of amplified contact of the disturbed epithelium of the ruptured follicles to mitotic stimuli and recurrent repair.<sup>10,11</sup> In humans, epidemiologic evidence in support of the ovulation hypothesis are the observations that multiple pregnancies, breastfeeding, hormone replacement therapy (HRT), and the use of oral contraceptives pills (OCs) seem to have a protective effect by decreasing the synthesis and secretion of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) through gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) signals.<sup>12</sup> The gonadotropin hypothesis proposes that increased serum levels of androgens (predominantly dehydroepiandroster-

one) induced by elevated gonadotropins stimulate increased proliferation and malignant alteration of the ovarian epithelium entombed within inclusion cysts. The observations substantiate that ovarian carcinogenesis is more frequent in women suffering from a polycystic ovarian syndrome where LH levels are constantly increasing.<sup>13</sup> In vitro and in vivo studies have also suggested that circulating testosterone levels can directly increase ovarian cancer cell proliferation through androgen receptor signaling,<sup>6</sup> and other androgen-like dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfates (DHEA-S) indirectly affect risk via conversion to testosterone.<sup>14</sup>

In divergence, few studies<sup>15,16</sup> did not support the original proposition and reported an inverse association between androgens of adrenal and ovarian origin with ovarian cancer. Additionally, reducing elevated serum gonadotropins by GnRH agonists, antiandrogens, or antiestrogens in ovarian cancer patients did not prevent recurrence or lead to growth restriction.<sup>17–19</sup> All these findings advocate that reproductive history influences the risk of ovarian cancer, that is, endogenous hormones have an etiologic role in ovarian cancer. Moreover, endogenous hormone levels cannot be changed easily, unlike exogenous factors. Thus, it becomes much more necessary to detect its association with ovarian carcinogenesis. However, there is a paucity of information about concentrations of endogenous hormones in the occurrence of EOC, specifically in the Indian population. Thus, in the present study, an attempt has been made to investigate the role of prediagnostic circulating concentrations of FSH, LH, and androgens (e.g., testosterone, DHEA-S) with the risk of EOC using a case-control approach.

## Materials and Methods

### Ethical Consideration

The study was conducted under the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and its later amendments on biomedical research on humans and was approved by the Institutional Human Research Ethical Committee. Informed consent was obtained from each participant following a study protocol after providing a detailed study overview.

### Participants and Study Protocol

This case-control study was conducted in the Department of Biochemistry and Obstetrics and Gynecology of Maulana Azad Medical College and associated Lok Nayak Hospital, Delhi, India. The participants recruited for the present study were based on a consecutive sampling technique. That is, all the participants who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria were included, ensuring that 1:1 matching was done. The study included a total of 200 participants (100 newly diagnosed EOC patients and 100 healthy controls).

### Participant Selection

Patients presenting with plausible symptoms of ovarian cancers reminiscent of pelvic pain, abdominal swelling, bloating, emesis, anorexia, bleeding after sexual intercourse, irregular menstruation, and pain were considered apparent case participants.<sup>20</sup> Prediagnostic venous sampling was done from all these plausible patients. After histopathological confirmation for EOC, the patients were finally enrolled for the study. Thus, patients with newly diagnosed, histopathologically confirmed EOC were included in this study. Patients with cancers other than EOC, metastasized cancers from other organs, and benign ovarian lesions were excluded. Other criteria for exclusion were patients with any severe illness, impairment of speech, hearing, vision, or cognition, or any significant medical illnesses that prevented participants from adhering to the protocol, lack of approval by a physician, and patients showing disinterest or refusal to sign the consent form.

Healthy controls were selected randomly from the outpatient department who visited the hospital for routine health check-ups and were not suffering from any acute or chronic disease nor taking any drugs believed to affect the physiological processes.

Participants with regular menstrual periods and had undergone hysterectomy with at least one ovary preserved were included in the premenopausal group. Participants with cessation of the menstrual period since 1 year along with FSH level greater than 40.0 IU/L (physiological menopause) and undergone hysterectomy with bilateral oophorectomy were included in the menopausal group.<sup>21</sup>

### Participants' Examination and Measurements

A detailed present and past history of each participant were recorded, including name, address, occupation, economic status, age, age at menarche, age at full-term pregnancy, age at menopause, parity, phase of menstrual cycle at the time of blood collection, menopausal status, duration of menopause, use of OCP, use of HRT, nutritional and personal habits, education, medication, and history suggestive of any systemic illness. Age was defined as the age at the time of the interview (though no documentary proof had been entertained).

### Sample Collection and Analysis

Venous sampling was done from all participants for biochemical determinations; however, samples of only histopathologically confirmed EOC cases and healthy controls

were selected for further biochemical analysis. FSH, LH, testosterone, and DHEA-S were measured on Roche-Elecsys 2010 (Germany) analyzer based on the principle of electrochemiluminescence immunoassay. Each pair of cases and controls was analyzed on the same batch and the same day after quality control evaluation to prevent any random and systemic error in reporting value.

### Statistical Analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using a Med Cal statistical software and Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows version 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, United States). Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (continuous variables) or as percentages of total (categorical variables). Two-group comparisons were made using chi-square for categorical variables and Student's *t*-tests for continuous variables. Mann-Whitney *U* test and Kruskal-Wallis test were used to compare the median. The odds ratio (OR) was calculated by conditional logistic regression to detect the risk of EOC occurrence. For all analyses, two-sided probability values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### Results

The mean acceptance rate of the study protocol was 68.25% ( $n=200$ ) by eligible participants ( $n=293$ ; EOC patients,  $n=141$ ; healthy participants,  $n=152$ ) and there was no difference between patients versus control group in the number of participants who refused to participate in the study or withdrew after the initial consent ( $p=0.07$ ; EOC patients,  $n=41$ ; healthy controls,  $n=52$ ).

► **Table 1** depicts the subgroup statistics and analysis of data for clinical characteristics of the study participants. Healthy controls had an average age of  $51.0 \pm 10.04$  years, while EOC patients had an average age of  $52.8 \pm 11.82$  years, and there were no significant difference in age ( $p=0.24$ ), age at menarche ( $p=0.37$ ), age at full-term pregnancy ( $p=0.67$ ), age at menopause ( $p=0.31$ ), parity ( $p=0.98$ ), phase of menstrual cycle at the time of blood collection ( $p=0.92$ ), menopausal status ( $p=0.77$ ), duration of menopause ( $p=0.96$ ), OCP use ( $p=0.28$ ), HRT use ( $p=0.86$ ), and smoking ( $p=0.82$ ) between ovarian cancer patients and controls. After hospital admission, the mean duration of EOC confirmation and venous sampling for hormone assay was 12 days  $\pm$  4 days and 16 hours  $\pm$  6 hours, respectively.

Among the inducted ovarian cancer cohort, mucinous histopathological type of malignancy was most common, observed in 44% ( $n=44$ ) of patients; 40 patients (40%) had a serous type, tailed by endometrioid (8%), clear cell (8%), and anaplastic (4%) histopathological type. The majority of the cases (66%) were moderately differentiated (grade 2), followed (20%) by poorly differentiated (grade 3) and well-differentiated, grade 1 (14%). In addition, 76 patients (76%) were in advanced as per the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging, and 24% were in early FIGO staging. All cases and controls were matched according to infertility, tubal ligation, and hysterectomy

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics features of study participants

Features	Ovarian cancer cases (N = 100)	Controls (N = 100)	p-Value
Overall age (mean ± SD, in years)	52.8 ± 11.82	51.0 ± 10.04	0.24 <sup>a</sup>
Age at menarche (mean ± SD, in years)	13.5 ± 1.9	13.3 ± 1.2	0.37 <sup>a</sup>
Age at full term pregnancy (mean ± SD, in years)	25.5 ± 5.3	25.2 ± 4.9	0.67 <sup>a</sup>
Age at menopause (mean ± SD, in years)	49.5 ± 4.6	48.9 ± 3.7	0.31 <sup>a</sup>
Parity, n (%)			
0	28 (28.0)	27 (27.0)	0.98 <sup>b</sup>
1–2	44 (44.0)	45 (45.0)	
> 2	28 (28.0)	28 (28.0)	
Menstrual cycle day, n (%)			
Follicular phase (0–12 d)	16 (38.1)	15 (34.1)	0.92 <sup>b</sup>
Ovulation phase (13–16 d)	10 (23.8)	10 (22.7)	
Luteal phase (17–34 d)	16 (38.1)	19 (43.2)	
Menopause status, n (%)			
Premenopausal	42 (42.0)	44 (44.0)	0.77 <sup>b</sup>
Postmenopausal	58 (58.0)	56 (56.0)	
Duration of menopause, n (%)			
< 5 y	14 (24.1)	13 (23.2)	0.96 <sup>b</sup>
5–10 y	20 (34.5)	21 (37.5)	
> 10 y	24 (41.4)	22 (39.3)	
OCP use, n (%)			
Yes	20 (20.0)	28 (28.0)	0.28 <sup>b</sup>
Never	80 (80.0)	72 (72.0)	
HRT use, n (%)			
Yes	24 (24.0)	23 (23.0)	0.86 <sup>b</sup>
Never	76 (76.0)	77 (77.0)	
Smoking			
Yes	11 (11.0)	12 (12.0)	0.82 <sup>b</sup>
Never	89 (89.0)	88 (88.0)	
Histopathology, n (%)			
Mucinous	44 (44.0)	–	–
Serous	40 (40.0)	–	–
Endometrioid	8 (8.0)	–	–
Clear cell	4 (4.0)	–	–
Anaplastic	4 (4.0)	–	–
Grade			
Well differentiated - Grade 1	14 (14)	–	–
Moderately differentiated - Grade 2	66 (66)	–	–
Poorly differentiated - Grade 3	20 (20)	–	–
FIGO staging			
Early (Stage I and II)	24 (24.0)	–	–
Advanced (Stage III and IV)	76 (76.0)	–	–

Abbreviations: FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; OCP, oral contraceptive pill; SD, standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>p-Values are calculated by Student's t-test.

<sup>b</sup>p-Values are calculated by Chi-square test.

**Table 2** Serum level of FSH, LH, testosterone, and DHEA-S in study participants

Hormones in median and range	Ovarian cancer cases (N = 100)	Controls (N = 100)	p-Value <sup>a</sup>
FSH (IU/L)	58.9 (8.3–106.7)	45.5 (6.6–101.2)	0.02
LH (IU/L)	48.7 (4.3– 98.2)	47.7 (2.9–81.3)	0.67
Testosterone (ng/mL)	0.42 (0.12–0.80)	0.39 (0.09–0.78)	0.75
DHEA-S (ug/dL)	163.43 (99.4–258.9)	142.2 (96.5–245.4)	0.03

Abbreviations: DHEA-S, dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; LH, luteinizing hormone.  
<sup>a</sup>p-Values are calculated by Mann-Whitney U test.

(data not shown). Thus, there was no significant difference in baseline characteristics and clinical variables among cases and controls, indicating that the EOC and control groups were well matched.

► **Table 2** represents group statistics and data analysis for serum levels of FSH, LH, testosterone, and DHEA-S in ovarian cases and control individuals. The median values of serum LH were 47.7 and 48.7 IU/L in control and patients, respectively. The corresponding values for testosterone were 0.39 and 0.42 ng/mL, respectively. Median estimated serum FSH and serum DHEA-S levels in healthy controls were 45.5 IU/L and 142.2 ug/dL, respectively. Equivalent values in EOC patients were 58.9 IU/L and 163.43 ug/dL, respectively, that is, the increase in serum FSH ( $p = 0.02$ ) and DHEA-S ( $p = 0.03$ ) levels in patients were statistically significant.

The relationship between FSH tertiles and various variables has been shown in ► **Table 3** to have a stratified analysis for the association with EOC; serum FSH levels are expressed as low (< 32.5 IU/L), mid (32.5–72.6 IU/L), and high (> 72.6 IU/L) tertiles. High tertile FSH level was found to be significantly associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer compared with low and mid tertile level, in women with parity of 0 to 2 (OR = 2.7, confidence interval [CI] = 1.17–6.30;  $p$  trend = 0.03) and in postmenopausal women (OR = 3.0, CI = 1.24–7.29,  $p$  trend = 0.04). However, the statistically significant trend observed in postmenopausal women remained only for the subgroup with menopause duration of greater than 10 years (OR = 5.9, CI = 1.33–26.66,  $p$  trend = 0.04). High tertile FSH level was also found to be significantly allied with increased risk of ovarian cancer in subgroups who never used to smoke (OR = 3.7, CI = 1.7–8.18,  $p$  trend = 0.02), never used OCP (OR = 2.5, CI = 1.17–5.51,  $p$  trend = 0.04), and never used HRT (OR = 3.1, CI = 1.39–7.15,  $p$  trend = 0.01).

The relationship between DHEA-S tertiles and various variables has been shown in ► **Table 4** to have a stratified analysis for the association with EOC, and serum DHEA-S levels are expressed as low (< 106.9 ug/dL), mid (106.9–194.26 ug/dL), and high tertile (> 194.2 ug/dL). High tertile DHEA-S level was found to be significantly associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer compared with low and mid tertile level, in women with parity of 0 to 2 (OR = 2.9, CI = 1.23–7.13;  $p$  trend = 0.02) and in premenopausal women (OR = 3.8, CI = 1.26–11.61,  $p$  trend = 0.03). High tertile DHEA-S was also found to be significantly associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer in subgroups who never used to smoke (OR = 2.7, CI = 1.33–5.67,  $p$  trend = 0.01), never

used OCP (OR = 3.1, CI = 1.35–7.15,  $p$  trend = 0.01), and never used HRT (OR = 2.5, CI = 1.10–5.33,  $p$  trend = 0.02).

► **Table 5** represents the association of serum FSH and DHEA-S level with clinicopathological features of ovarian cancer. There was no significant association of serum FSH level with either histopathological type ( $p = 0.29$ ), or grading ( $p = 0.23$ ), or staging ( $p = 0.13$ ) of ovarian cancer. While serum DHEA-S was found to be statically significant allied with well-differentiated ( $p = 0.02$ ) ovarian tumor compared with moderately and poorly differentiated grade, and early FIGO staging ( $p = 0.001$ ) compared with late FIGO staging of EOC. Median values of DHEA-S in early FIGO staging (stage I and II) were 154.7 ug/dL (range = 99.4–258.9 ug/dL), while comparative value in late FIGO staging was 120.5 ug/dL (range = 85.4–199.7 ug/dL) of EOC.

## Discussion

Incessant ovulation and gonadotropin hypothesis are the two foremost elucidations for EOC etiology; however, limited experimental and epidemiologic evidence supports either of these. Moreover, research on prediagnosis endogenous androgens/gonadotropins concentration and EOC risk is scarce and has yielded inconclusive results. Therefore, this study considered it worthwhile to assess the prediagnostic serum levels of testosterone, DHEA-S, FSH, and LH with the EOC risk. We undeniably found a significant positive association of FSH and DHEA-S with the increased risk. These findings are in accord with some studies,<sup>22–25</sup> but in contrast to others.<sup>26,27</sup>

In the primary analysis, prediagnostic levels of FSH ( $p = 0.02$ ; ► **Table 2**) and DHEA-S ( $p = 0.03$ ; ► **Table 2**) were elevated in EOC patients compared with healthy controls. Moreover, it was found that both elevated FSH and DHEA-S were associated with augmented risk for the occurrence of EOC after adjusting for various confounding factors and effective modifiers. However, this study failed to find any association of circulating blood level of LH and testosterone with the occurrence of EOC, while that was not the case in Ose et al<sup>27</sup> and Keri et al<sup>28</sup> but in agreement with Halperin et al<sup>29</sup> and Tworoger et al.<sup>30</sup>

Among the study participants, the median level of FSH was 29.45% higher ( $p = 0.02$ ) in cases (58.9 IU/L) than controls (45.5 IU/L). The same is substantiated by a study<sup>22</sup> reporting an increased gonadotropin level in the fluid of ovarian cancer, which might have originated from the high circulating level of gonadotropin. The association between serum FSH level

**Table 3** Association of serum FSH level with the occurrence of epithelial ovarian cancer

FSH (IU/L)	Low tertile < 32.5	Mid tertile 32.5–72.6	High tertile > 72.6	p trend
Parity of 0–2				
Case	18	22	32	0.03
Controls	26	29	17	
OR (95% CI)	1.0(ref.)	1.1 (0.48–2.48)	2.7 (1.17–6.30)	
Menopause status				
Premenopausal				
Case	12	13	17	0.20
Controls	19	14	11	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.4 (0.51–4.18)	2.4 (0.85–6.97)	
Postmenopausal				
Case	13	14	31	0.04
Controls	24	13	19	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.9 (0.72–5.47)	3.0 (1.24–7.29)	
Duration of menopause				
≤ 10 y				
Case	09	07	18	0.44
Controls	13	08	13	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.2 (0.33–4.74)	2.0 (0.65–6.06)	
> 10 y				
Case	04	07	13	0.04
Controls	11	05	06	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	3.8 (0.76–19.46)	5.9 (1.33–26.66)	
Never used OCP				
Case	20	25	35	0.03
Controls	32	18	22	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	2.2 (0.79–5.06)	2.5 (1.17–5.51)	
Never used HRT				
Case	17	29	30	0.01
Controls	34	24	19	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	2.4 (1.09–5.34)	3.1(1.39–7.15)	
Never used to smoke				
Case	17	33	39	0.02
Controls	38	37	23	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.9 (0.95–4.10)	3.7 (1.7–8.18)	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; OCP, oral contraceptive pill; OR, odds ratio.

Note: OR is calculated by conditional logistic regression.

expressed as tertiles and the risk of EOC reveals that high tertile level was significantly associated with increased risk of EOC in various subgroups, namely parity of 0 to 2, menopausal duration greater than 10 years, smoking habit, and participants who never used OCP or HRT. The adjusted OR comparing the highest third tertile with the bottom third quartile was 2.7 (95% CI = 1.17–6.3;  $p = 0.03$ ) for subgroup defined as parity of 0 to 2; 5.9 (95% CI = 1.33–26.66;  $p = 0.04$ ) for menopausal

duration greater than 10 years; 2.5 (95% CI = 1.17–5.51;  $p = 0.03$ ) for subgroup who never used OCP; 3.1 (95% CI = 1.39–7.15;  $p = 0.01$ ) for subgroup who were never on HRT; and 3.7 (95% CI: 1.7–8.18;  $p = 0.02$ ) for subgroup who never used to smoke. This is in stark contrast to Arslan et al<sup>26</sup> and McSorley et al,<sup>31</sup> wherein a high level of FSH have been reported to be a protective factor for ovarian cancer, but in concordance with the great majority of the researchers<sup>22,32–34</sup>

**Table 4** Association of serum DHEA-S level with the occurrence of epithelial ovarian cancer

DHEA-S (ug/dL)	Low tertile < 106.9	Mid tertile 106.9–194.2	High tertile > 194.2	p trend
Parity of 0–2				
Case	13	24	35	0.02
Controls	22	30	20	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.3 (0.56–3.23)	2.9 (1.23–7.13)	
Menopause status				
Premenopausal				
Case	7	10	25	0.03
Controls	15	15	14	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.4 (0.42–4.75)	3.8 (1.26–11.61)	
Postmenopausal				
Case	17	21	20	0.52
Controls	21	18	17	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.4 (0.58–3.53)	1.4 (0.58–3.60)	
Duration of menopause				
≤ 10 y				
Case	10	14	10	0.77
Controls	12	11	11	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.5 (0.48–4.83)	1.1 (0.32–3.61)	
> 10 y				
Case	07	07	10	0.55
Controls	09	07	06	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.2 (0.30–4.42)	2.1 (0.52–8.81)	
Never used OCP				
Case	19	26	35	0.01
Controls	27	29	16	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	1.2 (0.57–2.80)	3.1 (1.35–7.15)	
Never used HRT				
Case	19	28	29	0.02
Controls	35	20	22	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	2.5 (1.15–5.74)	2.5 (1.10–5.33)	
Never used to smoke				
Case	23	29	37	0.01
Controls	41	23	24	
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (ref.)	2.2 (1.06–4.75)	2.7 (1.33–5.67)	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; DHEA-S, dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; OCP, oral contraceptive pill; OR, odds ratio.

Note: OR is calculated by conditional logistic regression.

and also in line with the gonadotropin hypothesis of ovarian carcinogenesis.

The pathophysiology behind the observed effect seems to be follicular development, resultant in the effect of FSH on theca and granulosa cells.<sup>23</sup> High gonadotropin entraps the ovarian epithelial cells in an inclusion cyst, and causes augmented estrogenic stimulation of ovarian epithelial cells, which is responsible for its increased proliferation and subse-

quent malignant transformation. Excess gonadotropins are prime to the development of ovarian cancer as they have also been associated with surge during ovulation and deficient gonad negative feedback for premature ovarian failure and menopause. There is a suggestive inverse association between pregnancy and OCP with ovarian cancer risk by decreasing gonadotropins via steroidal feedback on the pituitary gland<sup>23,24</sup>; similar relationships persisted in the current study

**Table 5** Association of serum FSH and DHEA-S level with clinicopathological features

	Serum FSH (IU/L)	Serum DHEA-S (ug/dL)
Histopathology		
Serous type	48.9 (16.3–98.7)	153.9 (96.7–258.9)
Nonserous type	51.2 (8.3–106.7)	150.7 (99.4–237.8)
<i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup>	0.29	0.21
Grade		
Well differentiated	48.2 (16.3–92.2)	164.8 (85.4–258.9)
Moderately differentiated	52.3 (25.4–106.7)	149.8 (95.8–210.9)
Poorly differentiated	50.1 (8.3–92.5)	136.5 (99.4–196.7)
<i>p</i> -Value <sup>b</sup>	0.23	0.02
Staging		
Early staging (I and II)	51.2 (18.3–92.7)	154.7 (99.4–258.9)
Late staging (III and IV)	54.3 (30.4–106.7)	120.5 (85.4–199.7)
<i>p</i> -Value <sup>b</sup>	0.13	0.001

Abbreviations: DHEA-S, dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone.

<sup>a</sup>*p*-Values are calculated by Mann-Whitney *U* test.

<sup>b</sup>*p*-Values are calculated by Kruskal-Wallis test.

(→ **Table 3**), further providing epidemiologic evidence in support of the gonadotropin hypothesis. With respect to circulating LH, we have not found any evidence of an association between serum LH level and EOC, and neither we observed any protective effect of LH on ovarian cancer, as exemplified by Helzlsouer et al.<sup>35</sup> This is in harmony with Halperin et al.<sup>29</sup> and Akhmedkhanov et al.<sup>36</sup> However, a handful of observations are also in conflict with the gonadotropin hypothesis, as illustrated by Ushiroyama et al.<sup>37</sup> that estrogen replacement therapy, which reduces circulating gonadotropins and, thus, should confer protection, appears to be associated with a moderately increased risk of ovarian cancer.<sup>38</sup>

We did not observe any statistically significant association with testosterone and risk of EOC in premenopausal or postmenopausal women or overall, similar to that of Rinaldi et al.<sup>9</sup> and Lukanova et al.<sup>25</sup> Among the study participants, the median level of DHEA-S was 14.92% higher ( $p = 0.03$ ) among cases (163.43 ug/dL) than among controls (142.2 ug/dL). The association between serum DHEA-S levels expressed as tertiles and the risk of EOC reveals that a high tertile level of DHEA-S was significantly associated with increased risk of EOC in premenopausal women. Compared with women categorized in the lowest third of DHEA-S concentrations in the premenopause subgroup, the OR increased to 1.4 (95% CI = 0.42–4.75) and 3.8 (95% CI = 1.26–11.61) in the middle and highest thirds, respectively. Comparable results were obtained through conditional logistic regression analysis in other subclassified groups (namely parity of 0–2, never used to smoke, and participants who never used OCP and HRT), also there was a progressive increase in OR from the middle to highest third tertile group of DHEA-S compared with the lowest third (→ **Table 4**). Our observed associations also seem consistent with Helzlsouer et al.<sup>35</sup>

DHEA-S is a major circulatory androgen in women derived from the adrenal glands. Animal model studies have provided

strong evidence that ovarian cancer preferentially progresses in a hormonal milieu enriched with androgens by accelerating proliferation of epithelial cells of the ovary directly through androgen receptor signaling<sup>6</sup> and reduced apoptotic rates or through their role as estrogen precursors.<sup>39</sup> Androgens have also been associated with the increased invasive potential of ovarian epithelial cells by stimulating matrix metalloproteinases.<sup>40</sup> Taken together, androgens (directly or after conversion to estrogens) may contribute to growth promotion and/or differentiation in the early stages of the disease. On evaluating the association between studied parameters and clinicopathological features of the disease (histopathological type, grading, and staging), it was found that DHEA-S level was significantly high in well-differentiated tumor and early stage of ovarian cancer; this pattern exhibited by DHEA-S with ovarian cancer risk is unique and is challenging to explain the underlying pathophysiology. While serum FSH did not unveil any association with either histopathological type ( $p = 0.29$ ), or grading ( $p = 0.23$ ), or staging ( $p = 0.13$ ) of ovarian malignancy.

To the best of our knowledge, based on the PubMed database, this is the first study in the North Indian population to detect the role of gonadotropins and androgens in the development of ovarian cancer. Other potential strengths of the study include the selection criteria of the patient cohort as patients with features suggestive of any other malignancy or benign ovarian tumors were excluded, thus confined the study to EOC; we designed and performed this study in a manner where we adjusted several confounding variables and effective modifiers, thus rule out or at least minimizes the possibility of any biases that may lead to potential false-positive or false-negative results. Finally, prediagnostic samples were used for the biochemical variable analysis, thus minimizing the possibility of the disease's effect on the circulating hormones. Nonetheless, our findings described here are only statistical



observations, provide only the association's evidence, and cannot provide a causal relationship. Other limitations include that this study was performed in a relatively small population from one hospital, which may not represent the entire patient population. The generalizability of these findings will need to be confirmed in future multicentric prospective design studies after incorporating larger groups with the inclusion of other hormones like estrogen, progesterone, and sex hormone binding globulin. Despite these limitations, we believe that the findings of this study can be helpful for outcome predictions, and variables pointed here should be added to the screening profile of EOC. However, alliance or enmity in some subgroups/variables warrants additional evaluation.

## Conclusion

To summarize and conclude, our study reveals a statistically significant elevated prediagnostic circulating concentration of serum FSH and DHEA-S in EOC patients compared with healthy controls. Furthermore, these abnormalities were significantly associated with increased occurrence of EOC, in particular, elevated FSH in postmenopausal and DHEA-S in premenopausal women. Thus, this study, in unification with prevailing prospective epidemiological studies, supports the hypothesis that circulating FSH and DHEA-S concentrations is a putative risk factor for ovarian cancer. This emphasizes the need to add these variables in the screening profile of EOC for early recognition and scheduling necessary interventions/management strategies.

### Funding

We did not receive any funding for this research work.

### Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no conflicts of interest.

### Acknowledgment

None.

## References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2019;69(01):7–34
- Takiar R. Status of ovarian cancer in India. *EC Gynaecol* 2019;8(05):358–364
- Maheshwari A, Kumar N, Mahantshetty U. Gynecological cancers: a summary of published Indian data. *South Asian J Cancer* 2016;5(03):112–120
- Lynch HT, Casey MJ, Snyder CL, et al. Hereditary ovarian carcinoma: heterogeneity, molecular genetics, pathology, and management. *Mol Oncol* 2009;3(02):97–137
- Zhu H, Zhu X, Zheng L, Hu X, Sun L, Zhu X. The role of the androgen receptor in ovarian cancer carcinogenesis and its clinical implications. *Oncotarget* 2017;8(17):29395–29405
- Mizushima T, Miyamoto H. The role of androgen receptor signaling in ovarian cancer. *Cells* 2019;8(02):176
- Li S, Jiang K, Li J, et al. Estrogen enhances the proliferation and migration of ovarian cancer cells by activating transient receptor potential channel C3. *J Ovarian Res* 2020;13(01):20
- Modugno F, Laskey R, Smith AL, Andersen CL, Haluska P, Oesterreich S. Hormone response in ovarian cancer: time to reconsider as a clinical target? *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2012;19(06):R255–R279
- Rinaldi S, Dossus L, Lukanova A, et al. Endogenous androgens and risk of epithelial ovarian cancer: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16(01):23–29
- Lonard DM, O'Malley BW. Nuclear receptor coregulators: modulators of pathology and therapeutic targets. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2012;8(10):598–604
- Peres LC, Moorman PG, Alberg AJ, et al. Lifetime number of ovulatory cycles and epithelial ovarian cancer risk in African American women. *Cancer Causes Control* 2017;28(05):405–414
- Momenimovahed Z, Tiznobaik A, Taheri S, Salehiniya H. Ovarian cancer in the world: epidemiology and risk factors. *Int J Womens Health* 2019;11(11):287–299
- Daniilidis A, Dinas K. Long term health consequences of polycystic ovarian syndrome: a review analysis. *Hippokratia* 2009;13(02):90–92
- Ose J, Poole EM, Schock H, et al. Androgens are differentially associated with ovarian cancer subtypes in the ovarian cancer cohort consortium. *Cancer Res* 2017;77(14):3951–3960
- Olsen CM, Green AC, Nagle CM, et al; Australian Cancer Study Group (Ovarian Cancer) and the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. Epithelial ovarian cancer: testing the 'androgens hypothesis'. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2008;15(04):1061–1068
- Li AJ, Karlan BY. Androgens and epithelial ovarian cancer: what's the connection? *Cancer Biol Ther* 2008;7(11):1712–1716
- Gründker C, Emons G. Role of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) in ovarian cancer. *Cells* 2021;10(02):437
- Zheng H, Kavanagh JJ, Hu W, Liao Q, Fu S. Hormonal therapy in ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2007;17(02):325–338
- Williams C, Simera I, Bryant A. Tamoxifen for relapse of ovarian cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;2010(03):CD001034
- Desai A, Xu J, Aysola K, et al. Epithelial ovarian cancer: an overview. *World J Transl Med* 2014;3(01):1–8
- Meeta DL, Digumarti L, Agarwal N, Vaze N, Shah R, Malik S. Clinical practice guidelines on menopause: an executive summary and recommendations. *J Midlife Health* 2013;4(02):77–106
- Rzepka-Górska I, Chudecka-Głaz A, Kosmowska B. FSH and LH serum/tumor fluid ratios and malignant tumors of the ovary. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2004;11(02):315–321
- Choi JH, Wong AS, Huang HF, Leung PC. Gonadotropins and ovarian cancer. *Endocr Rev* 2007;28(04):440–461
- Vanderhyden BC. Loss of ovarian function and the risk of ovarian cancer. *Cell Tissue Res* 2005;322(01):117–124
- Lukanova A, Lundin E, Akhmedkhanov A, et al. Circulating levels of sex steroid hormones and risk of ovarian cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2003;104(05):636–642
- Arslan AA, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Lundin E, et al. Serum follicle-stimulating hormone and risk of epithelial ovarian cancer in postmenopausal women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003;12(12):1531–1535
- Ose J, Poole EM, Schock H, et al; Androgens Are Differentially Associated with Ovarian Cancer Subtypes in the Ovarian Cancer Cohort Consortium. Androgens are differentially associated with ovarian cancer subtypes in the ovarian cancer cohort consortium. *Cancer Res* 2017;77(14):3951–3960
- Keri RA, Lozada KL, Abdul-Karim FW, Nadeau JH, Nilson JH. Luteinizing hormone induction of ovarian tumors: oligogenic differences between mouse strains dictates tumor disposition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000;97(01):383–387
- Halperin R, Pansky M, Vaknin Z, Zehavi S, Bukovsky I, Schneider DSackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Israel. Luteinizing hormone in peritoneal and ovarian cyst fluids: a predictor of ovarian carcinoma. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2003;110(02):207–210
- Twozger SS, Lee IM, Buring JE, Hankinson SE. Plasma androgen concentrations and risk of incident ovarian cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;167(02):211–218

- 31 McSorley MA, Alberg AJ, Allen DS, et al. Prediagnostic circulating follicle stimulating hormone concentrations and ovarian cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 2009;125(03):674–679
- 32 Chen FC, Oskay-Ozcelik G, Bühling KJ, et al. Prognostic value of serum and ascites levels of estradiol, FSH, LH and prolactin in ovarian cancer. *Anticancer Res* 2009;29(05):1575–1578
- 33 Irvin SR, Weiderpass E, Stanczyk FZ, et al. Association of anti-Mullerian hormone, follicle-stimulating hormone, and inhibin B with risk of ovarian cancer in the Janus Serum Bank. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2020;29(03):636–642
- 34 Chudecka-Głaz A, Rzepka-Górska I, Kosmowska B. Gonadotropin (LH, FSH) levels in serum and cyst fluid in epithelial tumors of the ovary. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2004;270(03):151–156
- 35 Helzlsouer KJ, Alberg AJ, Gordon GB, et al. Serum gonadotropins and steroid hormones and the development of ovarian cancer. *JAMA* 1995;274(24):1926–1930
- 36 Akhmedkhanov A, Toniolo P, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Pettersson KS, Huhtaniemi IT. Luteinizing hormone, its beta-subunit variant, and epithelial ovarian cancer: the gonadotropin hypothesis revisited. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001;154(01):43–49
- 37 Ushiroyama T, Sakai M, Higashiyama T, Ikeda A, Ueki M. Estrogen replacement therapy in postmenopausal women: a study of the efficacy of estriol and changes in plasma gonadotropin levels. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2001;15(01):74–80
- 38 Lee AW, Ness RB, Roman LD, et al; Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium. Association between menopausal estrogen-only therapy and ovarian carcinoma risk. *Obstet Gynecol* 2016;127(05):828–836
- 39 Ciucci A, Zannoni GF, Buttarelli M, et al. Multiple direct and indirect mechanisms drive estrogen-induced tumor growth in high grade serous ovarian cancers. *Oncotarget* 2016;7(07):8155–8171
- 40 Sood AK, Bhatti R, Kamat AA, et al. Stress hormone-mediated invasion of ovarian cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12(02):369–375