



Nitrofurantoin Susceptibility Pattern in Gram-Negative Urinary Isolates: In Need of Increased Vigilance

Garima Gautam¹ Sanjib Gogoi¹ Sonal Saxena² Ravinder Kaur¹ Megh Singh Dhakad¹

¹Department of Microbiology, Lady Hardinge Medical College and Associated Hospitals, New Delhi, India

²Department of Microbiology, Maulana Azad Medical College and Associated Hospitals, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence Ravinder Kaur, MD, Department of Microbiology, Lady Hardinge Medical College and Associated Hospitals, Lal Building 1st Floor, New Delhi 110001, India (e-mail: drkaurarticles01@gmail.com).

J Lab Physicians 2021;13:252–256.

Abstract

Nitrofurantoin is the first-line drug in the treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infections (UTIs) and its use has increased exponentially in recent years.

Objectives This study aims to determine the susceptibility pattern of nitrofurantoin in gram-negative urinary isolates and to evaluate their bacteriological and epidemiological profile along with co-existing resistance to other important urinary antimicrobials.

Material and Methods This was a retrospective study conducted in a tertiary care hospital in New Delhi in which 500 gram-negative bacterial urinary isolates were evaluated. Records of antimicrobial susceptibility were reviewed from July to September 2019. Antimicrobial susceptibility was performed using the Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar and interpreted using CLSI 2019. Test for extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) producers was done using double disk approximation test.

Statistical Analysis Data analysis was performed using the SPSS windows version 25.0 software.

Results Out of total 500 isolates, 20.17% (94) isolates were resistant (R) to nitrofurantoin and 9.01% (42) were found to be intermediate (I). Highest resistance was seen in *Klebsiella* sp. (44.61%) and *Escherichia coli* (8.12%). About 28.82% of the I/R isolates were of the pediatrics age group and most of the isolates belonged to females (64.69%). High resistance was also seen against ampicillin (92.30%), cefazolin (88.46%), ceftazidime (73.0%), and fluoroquinolones (65.38%). Carbapenemase co-resistance was seen in 57.15% isolates whereas ESBL production was seen in 30.76% of *E. coli* and 12.06% of *Klebsiella* sp.

Conclusion Increase in multidrug resistance uropathogens along with a near absence of novel oral antibiotics has led to increased consumption of nitrofurantoin since its resistance has increased.

Keywords

- ▶ nitrofurantoin
- ▶ disk diffusion
- ▶ antimicrobial susceptibility
- ▶ gram-negative bacteria

published online
July 6, 2021

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1731106>
ISSN 0974-2727

©2021. The Indian Association of Laboratory Physicians.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd. A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

Key Message

Nitrofurantoin susceptibility rate reflects the need for strict vigilance regarding nitrofurantoin use and regular monitoring of its susceptibility pattern.

Introduction

Increase in multidrug-resistant organisms has become an alarming situation around the globe. Resistance to last resort antibiotics such as carbapenems has also been increasing.¹ This rise in resistance has been difficult to tackle due to lack of prudent antimicrobial use and susceptibility surveillance in many areas and lack of development of newer antibiotics.

Following the overuse of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and fluoroquinolones, most uropathogens are now resistant to these oral drugs.² Nitrofurantoin (NFT) has been used for more than 50 years as an alternative treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infections (UTIs).³ The same result is now being feared with the increased use of NFT in recent years.

The major strengths of NFT are its action at multiple sites and levels, its high urinary concentration, safety in pregnancy, being used orally, and well tolerability with side-effects occurring at rates < 0.001%.^{4,5} At high concentrations, NFT is converted by bacterial nitroreductases to highly reactive electrophilic intermediate that binds nonspecifically to ribosomal proteins and rRNA and causes complete cessation of synthesis of bacterial DNA, RNA, and proteins. It also inhibits bacterial enzymes such as β -galactosidase at concentrations near MICs and disrupts bacterial metabolism in absence of reductive activation of this drug.⁶

NFT has a broad-spectrum activity against the main uropathogens (*Escherichia coli* [*E. coli*], *Citrobacter* spp., group B Streptococci, Enterococci, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [*K. pneumoniae*], and *Enterobacter* spp.) and has shown to be active against extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* and vancomycin-resistant enterococci.³ However, *Proteus*, *Providencia*, *Morganella*, *Serratia*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Pseudomonas* are highly resistant to this drug.⁴

Detection of UTI causing pathogens and analyzing resistance pattern of these pathogens to antimicrobials is crucial and valuable in improving the efficacy of empirical treatment and preventing emergence of high resistance.⁷ This will also have an impact on morbidity, mortality, and cost of treatment especially in developing countries like India. It is important to understand that the resistance pattern observed may vary from hospital to community, large hospital to small hospital, state to state, and country to country.⁸ Since most of the UTIs are treated empirically, the antimicrobial agent prescribed should be determined by expected susceptibility pattern. Hence our study was undertaken to determine the susceptibility pattern of NFT in gram-negative urinary isolates in a tertiary care hospital in North India and to evaluate their bacteriological and epidemiological profile along with co-existing resistance to other important urinary antimicrobials.

Material and Methods

Setting

This retrospective study was conducted in a tertiary care hospital in North India.

Subjects

The highest nitrofurantoin resistance (NFT-R) reported from India was found to be around 48%.⁹ Taking the mentioned reference as the prevalence for NFT-R, a sample size of 500 gram-negative bacterial urinary isolates was taken.

Methodology

Records of antimicrobial susceptibility were reviewed from July to September 2019 for gram-negative urinary isolates obtained from patients suspected of UTI. Antimicrobial susceptibility was performed using the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar and interpreted using CLSI 2019. Test for ESBL producers was done using double disk approximation test. Informed consent was taken from the patients for usage of data in the study. Only one isolate per patient was included. Only growths with significant colony count (> 10⁵ cfu/mL) were included for the data analysis.

The susceptibility results for the following antibiotics were evaluated: ampicillin (10 μ g), norfloxacin (5 μ g), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), NFT (300 μ g), gentamicin (10 μ g), ceftazidime (30 μ g), piperacillin-tazobactam (100/10 μ g), meropenem (10 μ g), ertapenem (10 μ g), and imipenem (10 μ g).

Analysis

Data analysis was performed using the SPSS windows version 25.0 software. Test of significance like chi-square test was applied to find out the results. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant. Data obtained from this study was also analyzed using descriptive statistics such as percentage and proportion.

Results

Among the total 500 isolates, majority (64%) were *E. coli*, followed by *Klebsiella* sp. (26%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (4.6%), *Acinetobacter* sp. (2%), and *Proteus* sp. (1.8%). Among the minority were *Citrobacter* sp., *Morganella morganii*, and *Enterobacter* sp. (**►Table 1**). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Morganella morganii*, and *Proteus* sp. are considered to be intrinsically resistant and constituted 6.74% (34) of the total isolates.

Nitrofurantoin Resistance Profile

NFT-R was seen in 20.17% (94) of the total isolates excluding the intrinsic resistant organisms, whereas 9.01% (42) were intermediate (I). Highest resistance was seen in *Klebsiella* sp. (44.61%) and *E. coli* (8.12%). High resistance was also seen in *Acinetobacter* sp. (80%). Five *Citrobacter* sp. were isolated; two of them were found to be NFT-R and one was intermediate sensitive. Only one *Enterobacter* sp. was isolated which was found to be NFT sensitive (**►Table 2**).

Table 1 Distribution of gram-negative isolates (N = 500)

S. no.	Organism	No.	%
1	<i>E. coli</i>	320	64.00
2	<i>Klebsiella</i> sp.	130	26.00
3	<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.	23	4.60
4	<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp.	10	2.00
5	<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	7	1.40
6	<i>Citrobacter</i> sp.	5	1.00
7	<i>Morganella morganii</i>	2	0.40
8	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	2	0.40
9	<i>Enterobacter</i> sp.	1	0.20
Total		500	100.00

Table 2 Nitrofurantoin resistance profile

Nitrofurantoin (%)	Total (n = 466)	<i>E. coli</i> (n = 320)	<i>Klebsiella</i> sp. (n = 130)	<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp. (n = 10)	<i>Citrobacter</i> sp. (n = 5)
Intermediate sensitive	9.01 (42)	0.31 (20)	15.38 (20)	10 (1)	20 (1)
Resistant	20.17 (94)	8.12 (26)	44.61 (58)	80 (8)	40 (2)
p-Value		0.02	0.12	0.17	0.67

Of the isolates that were resistant or intermediate, 71.18% were adults (> 12 years of age) and 28.82% were pediatric. Majority were females (64.69%). Resistance was seen highest in patients admitted in wards (57.74%), whereas 39.29% isolates were from patients of various OPDs, and only 2.95% isolates were from ICU patients (► **Table 3**).

Co-existing Resistance

Among NFT-R *E. coli*, high resistance was also seen against ampicillin (92.30%), cefazolin (88.46%), ceftazidime (73.0%), and fluoroquinolones (65.38%). Among the carbapenems, imipenem (57.69%) showed the highest resistance, followed by ertapenem (42.30%), and least resistant was meropenem (19.23%). ESBL production was seen in 30.76% isolates. Less resistant drugs were piperacillin-tazobactam (26.92%) and gentamicin (30.76%).

Similarly, among NFT-R *Klebsiella* sp., high resistance was seen against ampicillin (94.18%), cefazolin (74.13%), ceftazidime (62.06%), and fluoroquinolones (53.44%). Among the carbapenems, ertapenem showed highest resistance (50%), followed by imipenem (46.55%) and meropenem (39.65%). ESBL production was seen in 12.06% isolates. Less resistant drugs were piperacillin-tazobactam (46.55%) and gentamicin (46.55%) (► **Table 4**).

Carbapenemase resistance among NIT-R *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* sp. was 57.15% (48/84).

Discussion

The rapid development and spread of antimicrobial resistance among gram-negative bacteria have become a major public health concern. This study highlights an update on the susceptibility profile of NFT in gram-negative uropathogens

and co-existing resistance to other commonly used antimicrobials.

Recently, there has been a new interest for older antibiotics due to alterations in pathogen distribution and resistance. NFT is a synthetic nitrofurantoin antimicrobial agent that has been used for years and still considered to be active against most of the uropathogens including the multiresistant strains.⁵ In many studies, NFT has been the drug with least resistance against *E. coli*.^{10,11} In the year 2011, IDSA recommended NFT as the drug of choice for empirical treatment of uncomplicated UTIs.¹² Since then there has been an increase in consumption of NFT which might result in increased selection pressure for resistant strains and overall increase in resistance.

The study demonstrates that *E. coli* remains the leading uropathogen being responsible for 64% of UTIs in our area. This is consistent with findings of other studies in which *E. coli* was the most frequently reported isolate from patients with community-acquired UTIs.^{9-11,13}

In our study, the overall rate of resistance of NFT was 20.17% among various gram-negative uropathogens and 9.01% was intermediate sensitive according to CLSI 2019 guidelines. NFT-R among *Klebsiella* sp. was highest (44.61%) whereas 8.12% of *E. coli* isolates were resistant and the result was found to be statistically significant. NFT-R pattern has been seen to vary greatly among different geographical areas especially in a vast country like India. In a study conducted by Shaifali et al in 2012, NFT-R was reported to be 13% in *E. coli* and 7% in *Klebsiella* sp.¹⁰ In another study conducted by Sood and Gupta, NFT-R among *E. coli* was 5 to 6% whereas 61.2% resistance was reported in other gram-negative enteric bacteria. Highest resistance (94.44%) was reported for nonfermenting gram-negative

Table 3 Epidemiological distribution of NFT-R and NFT-I isolates

Age	Adults (≥ 12 y of age)		Pediatrics (< 12 y of age)	
		71.18%		28.82%
Sex	Male		Female	
		35.31%		64.69%
Location	Inpatient (excluding ICUs)		OPDs	ICUs
		57.74%		39.29%

Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; NFT-I, nitrofurantoin intermediate; NFT-R, nitrofurantoin resistance; OPD, outpatient departments.

Table 4 Co-existing resistance (% resistance)

NIT-R isolates	Amp	CZ	CAZ	FQs	PIT	Genta	MRM	IPM	ERT	ESBL
<i>E. coli</i> (n = 26)	92.30	88.46	73.07	65.38	26.92	30.76	19.23	57.69	42.30	30.76
<i>Klebsiella</i> (n = 58)	94.82	74.13	62.06	53.44	46.55	46.55	39.65	46.55	50	12.06

Abbreviations: Amp, ampicillin; ESBL, extended spectrum β -lactamase; NFT, nitrofurantoin.

bacteria.¹¹ The wide variation in resistance rate might be due to different local prescribing practices, with high resistance seen with high prescription and difference in existing resistance pattern in the areas. Various NFT-R patterns reported all around India are listed in ► **Table 5**.

Interestingly, in Western countries, resistance is still rare in *E. coli* and most other ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. A population-based survey of in vitro antimicrobial resistance of urinary *E. coli* isolates among U.S. outpatients from 2000 to 2010 showed NFT-R from 0.8 to 1.6%.¹⁴ The susceptibility data from *E. coli* community-acquired UTIs in Europe points to a similar prevalence of low resistance (2% from isolates in 2007–2008).¹⁵

Even in earlier eras of widespread use, baseline NFT-R was low (0–5%), likely because of multiple modes of action and the acquisition or emergence of resistance being relatively uncommon (approximately 10–7/cell for *E. coli*).^{16,17} NFT-R is thought to be due to loss of intracellular nitroreductase activity via stepwise mutations in the DNA regions encoding the enzymes (*nsfA* and *nsfB*) and the deletion in *ribE* (encoding lumazine synthase involved in biosynthesis of flavin mononucleotide).¹⁶ In 2003, a plasmid-encoded efflux pump mutation, *OqxAB*, was also detected to be an important NFT-R determinant.¹⁸

High resistance was also seen in *Acinetobacter* sp. (80%), but many studies have reported *Acinetobacter* sp., *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa, *Morganella morganii*, *Proteus* sp., and *Providencia* to be intrinsically resistant.^{3,4}

Majority of resistant isolates belonged to females (64.69%), which is consistent with the fact that UTI occurs more commonly in females than males due to structural differences. Approximately 80% of all UTIs occur in women.¹¹ Resistance was seen highest in patients admitted in wards (57.74%) whereas 39.29% isolates were from patients of various OPDs and only 2.95% isolates were from ICUs patients. High level NFT-R is found to be associated with surgical wards and ICUs correlated with the use of invasive urinary catheters/procedures.¹⁹ Any age correlation with resistance pattern has not been observed.

Similar results were found in terms of co-existing resistance. Gram-negative bacteria are now highly resistant to oral drugs such as aminopenicillins, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, and cotrimoxazole.^{10,11,13} ESBL production was seen in 30.76% of *E. coli* and 12.06% of *Klebsiella* NFT-R isolates. Other study also revealed overall 23.83% of *E. coli* isolates and 8.69% of *Klebsiella* isolates to be ESBL producers.¹¹ Alternatively, one study evaluated NIT against multidrug-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* and found NIT to be 70% effective against ESBLs and a low sensitivity rate for metallo- β -lactamases (38%) and AmpC β -lactamases (32%).³ NIT susceptibility profile has also been evaluated for carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) and found to be only 56% effective for CR *E. coli*.¹

Table 5 Various NFT resistance patterns reported all around India

Study	Year	Place	Resistance %	
			<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i> sp.
Kothari and Sagar ²¹	2005	Delhi	24.4%	NE
Sood and Gupta ¹¹	2007–2009	Jaipur, Rajasthan	5–6%	NE
Shaifali et al ¹⁰	2011	Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh	13%	9%
Badhan et al ¹³	2012–2014	Punjab	6%	21%
Kulkarni et al ²⁰	2012–2015	Karnataka	< 8%	NE
Suresh et al ²²	2015–2016	Ooty, South India	8.3%	< 1%
Patel et al ⁹	2016	Gujarat	27.7%	48.3%

Abbreviations: NE, not evaluated; NFT, nitrofurantoin.

In our study, coexisting rate of CRE was found to be 57.7% for NIT-R *E. coli* and 56.9% for NIT-R *Klebsiella* sp. One study reported a low-level resistance (3.29%) for *E. coli* isolates.²⁰ Study conducted by Patel et al reported overall rates of CRE to be 8.1% and 24.3% for *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* sp., respectively.⁹

The major limitation of this study is that NIT MICs could not be performed, and it does not take into account risk factors that can cause drug resistant and complicated UTIs such as diabetes, compromised immunity, cancer chemotherapy, HIV, prolonged urinary catheterization, recent antibiotic use, or incomplete treatment of prior UTIs.

Conclusion

NFT appears to have better efficacy than aminopenicillins, ciprofloxacin, and norfloxacin. An agent is deemed unacceptable for empiric treatment where the rate of resistance exceeds 20%.¹² This highlights the need to maintain strict vigilance and regular monitoring of NIT resistance pattern. Taking into consideration the importance of NFT in acute uncomplicated UTIs and its efficacy to manage MDR infections, increased care should be taken in the prescription of NFT to avoid further increase of NFT-R among *Enterobacteriaceae*. Also, species identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing of pathogens are necessary to avoid prescribing NFT for organisms intrinsically resistant to NFT. The stewardship of NFT is necessary to prolong its usefulness for uncomplicated UTIs.

Funding

None.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Acknowledgment

No financial support was received and recognition of personal assistance for the work being published is mentioned in the title page. No sources of outside support exist for the paper.

References

- 1 Amladi AU, Abirami B, Devi SM, et al. Susceptibility profile, resistance mechanisms & efficacy ratios of fosfomicin, nitrofurantoin & colistin for carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* causing urinary tract infections. *Indian J Med Res* 2019;149(2):185–191
- 2 Kahlmeter G; ECO.SENS. An international survey of the antimicrobial susceptibility of pathogens from uncomplicated urinary tract infections: the ECO.SENS Project. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003;51(1):69–76
- 3 Singh RM, Devi MU, Singh KL, Singh HL, Keisham C, Singh KH. Evaluation of nitrofurantoin activity against the urinary isolates in the current scenario of antimicrobial resistance. *Ann Trop Med Public Health* 2015;8(6):280–285
- 4 Shakti L, Veeraraghavan B. Advantage and limitations of nitrofurantoin in multi-drug resistant Indian scenario. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2015;33(4):477–481

- 5 Munoz-Davila MJ. Role of old antibiotics in the era of antibiotic resistance. Highlighted nitrofurantoin for the treatment of lower urinary tract infections. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2014;3(1):39–48
- 6 Jorgensen J. *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*. 11th ed. Washington, DC: American Society of Microbiology;2015
- 7 Gupta V, Yadav A, Joshi RM. Antibiotic resistance pattern in uropathogens. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2002;20(2):96–98
- 8 Farajnia S, Alikhani MY, Ghotaslou R, Naghili B, Nakhilband A. Causative agents and antimicrobial susceptibilities of urinary tract infections in the northwest of Iran. *Int J Infect Dis* 2009;13(2):140–144
- 9 Patel HB, Soni ST, Bhagyalaxmi A, Patel NM. Causative agents of urinary tract infections and their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns at a referral center in Western India: an audit to help clinicians prevent antibiotic misuse. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2019;8(1):154–159
- 10 Shaifali I, Gupta U, Mahmood SE, Ahmed J. Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of urinary pathogens in female outpatients. *N Am J Med Sci* 2012;4(4):163–169
- 11 Sood S, Gupta R. Antibiotic resistance pattern of community acquired uropathogens at a tertiary care hospital in Jaipur, Rajasthan. *Indian J Community Med* 2012;37(1):39–44
- 12 Gupta K, Hooton TM, Naber KG, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America; European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. International clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis in women: a 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011;52(5):e103–e120
- 13 Badhan R, Singh DV, Badhan LR, Kaur A. Evaluation of bacteriological profile and antibiotic sensitivity patterns in children with urinary tract infection: a prospective study from a tertiary care center. *Indian J Urol* 2016;32(1):50–56
- 14 Sanchez GV, Master RN, Karlowsky JA, Bordon JM. In vitro antimicrobial resistance of urinary *Escherichia coli* isolates among U.S. outpatients from 2000 to 2010. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;56(4):2181–2183
- 15 Kahlmeter G, Poulsen HO. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* from community-acquired urinary tract infections in Europe: the ECO-SENS study revisited. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2012;39(1):45–51
- 16 Huttner A, Verhaegh EM, Harbarth S, Muller AE, Theuretzbacher U, Mouton JW. Nitrofurantoin revisited: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2015;70(9):2456–2464
- 17 Sandegren L, Lindqvist A, Kahlmeter G, Andersson DI. Nitrofurantoin resistance mechanism and fitness cost in *Escherichia coli*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;62(3):495–503
- 18 Ho P-L, Ng K-Y, Lo W-U, et al. Plasmid-mediated OqxAB is an important mechanism for nitrofurantoin resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015;60(1):537–543
- 19 Osei Sekyere J. Genomic insights into nitrofurantoin resistance mechanisms and epidemiology in clinical *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Future Sci OA* 2018;4(5):FSO293
- 20 Kulkarni SR, Peerapur BV, Sailesh KS. Isolation and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* from urinary tract infections in a Tertiary Care Hospital of North Eastern Karnataka. *J Nat Sci Biol Med* 2017;8(2):176–180
- 21 Kothari A, Sagar V. Antibiotic resistance in pathogens causing community-acquired urinary tract infections in India: a multicenter study. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2008;2(5):354–358
- 22 Suresh A. Antibiotic resistance pattern in urinary tract infection during pregnancy in South Indian population. *Asian J Pharm* 2018;12(2)